



VERIFICATION BULLETIN

FEBRUARY - MARCH - APRIL 2024

Table of Content

1. Introduction	
1. Objective	3
2. Oceans	
1. surface temperature anomalies	4
2. ENSO	5
3. tropical Atlantic and Indian Ocean index	6
4. North Atlantic SST	7
3. Atmospheric circulation	
1. Global teleconnection	8
2. 500hPa Geopotential height	9
3. Modes of variability	10
4. Winter SLP weather regimes	11
4. Climatic parameters	
1. temperature on the globe	12
2. temperature over Europe	13
3. Precipitations over the globe	14
4. Precipitations over Europe	15
5. General summary	
1. for the period FMA 2024	16

Introduction: Objective


- The objective of the Seasonal Verification Bulletin is to present an evaluation of the main elements highlighted in the Seasonal Forecast Bulletin: oceanic forcings, large scale circulation patterns, and a focus on temperature and precipitation forecast over Northern Atlantic, Europe and the Mediterranean Basin.
- The aim is not to evaluate the mean skill of Seasonal Forecast models, for which scores are calculated over the whole hindcast period, but to enhance the knowledge of the behavior of models for advanced users (as National Meteorological Services), in parallel with an assessment of expertised forecast. This approach meets the need of many users, who want to know the recent real-time performances of forecasts, for specific events.
- Thanks to Mercator-Ocean and DWD (RCC-Climate Monitoring node for Europe) for providing products and analysis on the monitoring part.


Oceans: surface temperature anomalies


In the Pacific Ocean, the main anomalies were well predicted by both models: warm anomaly in the equatorial zone (El Niño), PDO-pattern in the Northern Hemisphere and cold anomaly in the Southern Hemisphere.


In the Indian Ocean, the predicted patterns and the reanalysis are very close.

In the Atlantic Ocean, while the extent of the warm anomaly forecast by the models is validated by the reanalysis, the intensity of this anomaly has been largely underestimated by both MF and ECMWF, particularily in south Atlantic.











SST anomalies in the analysis from Mercator (top left), C3S multi-models (top right), MF-S8 (bottom left) and SEAS5 (bottom right)

Oceans: ENSO

CAUTION: reference analyses differ between MF-S8 (Mercator-Ocean 1993-2016) and ECMWF-SEAS5 (NCEP 1981-2010).

MF8 is too hot, and start decreasing too late. ECMWF is close to analysis.








Oceans: tropical Atlantic and Indian Ocean index


SAT: Both models predicted a very high anomaly. Yet the reanalysis reveals an even stronger one.

DMI: The attenuation of the DMI index is well anticipated by the models.












Oceans: North Atlantic SST

In the northern intertropical zone and all the way to Europe, the warm anomaly is anticipated by the models but clearly underestimated. In Mediterranean sea both have a good accordance with reanalysis.








SST anomalies in the analysis from Mercator (top left), C3S multi-models (top right), MF-S8 (bottom left) and ECMWF SEAS5 (bottom right)

Atmospheric circulation: Global teleconnection

VP: The Indian Ocean dipole, linked to IOD+, was predicted by all models. Elsewhere there is no real correlation.

SF: The two dipoles, one on the maritime continent and the other on the Pacific, well seen by the models, are less remarquables on the reanalisys than on the models. They are associated with teleconnections to the northern and southern hemispheres, again generally well seen by the models. The reanalysis also shows a dipole over Atlantic ocean not predicted by the models. The circulation over Europe may be linked to this dipole (teleconnections?).




ECMWF analysis, MF-S8, ECMWF-SEAS5, CMCC, DWD and JMA 200hPa velocity potential anomalies (color range, green: ascending, orange: subsidence) and stream function anomalies (isolines, red: anticyclonic in the northern hemisphere, blue: cyclonic in the northern hemisphere).

Atmospheric circulation: 500hPa Geopotential height

Southern Hemisphere: Anomaly in the South Pacific is well seen by all models. Positive anomalies over southwest of Australia and Africa on reanalysis are not.

Northern Hemisphere: Positive anomalies over Canada and Groenland were forecast by all models, wile le ones over the Mediterranean sea upt west Russia was only seen by some models. All models predicted a band of negative anomalies from the USA to Sandinavia. However, there were discrepancies between models and reanalysis regarding their location. At the end MF8 was close concerning western Europ anomaly.




ECMWF analysis, C3S multi-system, MF-S8, ECMWF-SEAS5, CMCC and DWD 500hPa geopotential height anomalies.


Atmospheric circulation: Modes of variability


The domination of EA+ mode was well anticipated, but underestimated.


MF8 has forecasted a trend to SCAN+ and PNA-,, correlated with reanalisys. ECMWF remained neutral in other modes.




NAO












Atmospheric circulation: Winter SLP weather regimes


For february and march, and therefore also over the quarter, the NAO- regime is favored, while the frequency of the Atlantic Ridge regime is much lower than in climatology. In April, Atlantic Ridge become dominant.

Only the MF8 model had predicted a significant predominance of the NAO- regime.










weather regime: ECMWF analysis top left, MF8 and ECMWF forecasts at the bottom. MJO phase top right

Climatic parameters: temperature on the globe

In the southern hemisphere, the main cold anomalies are well forecast and are linked to oceanic anomalies.


In the Northern Hemisphere, the warm anomaly over North America and Europe was generally well seen by the models. The warm anomaly over the Atlantic is linked to the strong SST anomaly.





ECMWF analysis top left, forecast for multi-model top center and forecast for MF-S8 top right, ECMWF-SEAS5, CMCC, DWD on the bottom line.


Climatic parameters: temperature over Europe


The warmer-than-normal scenario over all Europe except Scandinavia, and the Mediterranean Basin, is validated by the analysis, in conjunction with the positive Z500 anomaly. Further north, the models the colder-than-normal scenario over Iceland was forecasted by all the models.












 $ECMWF\ analysis\ top\ left,\ synthetic\ forecast\ map\ top\ right.\ Forecast\ for\ multi-system\ ,\ MF-S8\ and\ SEAS5\ on\ the\ bottom\ line.$

Climatic parameters: Precipitations over the globe


In the inter-tropical zone, the impacts predicted by the models are confirmed by analysis in Africa (also linked to IOD+), also over South America where the dry/wet patterns are well correlated. In North America, Canada is dryer than predicted. On the other hand, from Europe to Russia, the predicted wet signal is confirmed by analysis, except for werstern europe forecasted to be less wet.





Standardized Precipitation Index analysed by IRI top left, forecast for multi-model top right and MF-S8 and SEAS5 on the bottom line.


Climatic parameters: Precipitations over Europe


The wetter-than-normal scenario over a wide area of central Europe is validated by the reanalysis except around Black sea. Over the southern Mediterranean Basin, despite the scarcity of data available for analysis, the drier-than-normal scenario is consistent. Western Europe has been wetter than forecasted, although MF8 an Multisystem had a good vision of wetness..











Precipitation anomalies analysed by IRI (top left). Synthetic forecast map for precipitation (top right) and forecast for multi-model, MF-S8 and SEAS5 (on the bottom line).

General summary: for the period FMA 2024

1) Oceans:

The main SST anomalies predicted by the two models are well represented in the analysis.

2) Large scale atmospheric circulation:

VP 200 hPa: Areas of lifts and subsidence (Indian Ocean dipole) were generally well anticipated by the models, except for the south Atlantic ocean.

SF 200 hPa: Teleconnections from the IOD to Europ an Africa. All the models shows an anticyclonic anomaly over Mediterranean sea.

Z500: MF8 is therefore closer to the analysis than the other models for the position of the negative anomaly near Europe.

3) Climatic parameters over Europe:

Temperatures: The warm anomalies were well anticipated.

Precipitations: The wet anomaly is well positioned over Central Europe. It could have been considered more extensive to the west, as suggested by the models, which are very close to the analysis except ECMWF.